IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

AUDREY CRUZ,

Plaintiff,

v. No. 13-cv-0764 JB/SMV

KMART CORPORATION; SUTTON PLACE INVESTMENTS, LLC;

Defendants.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant Sutton Place Investments, LLC's Motion to Dismiss for Discovery Abuse [Doc. 24], filed on December 24, 2013. Defendant Kmart, Corporation, joined in the motion on January 7, 2014. [Doc. 25]. Plaintiff has filed no response, nor requested an extension of time to do so. The Court will order Plaintiff to appear at a telephonic hearing on **March 5, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.**, and show cause why she should not be sanctioned.

Apparently, Plaintiff failed to appear for her properly noticed deposition on December 10, 2013. *See* [Doc. 24] at 2–3. Neither an objection to the deposition, nor a notice of non-appearance has been filed. As a result of Plaintiff's failure to appear at her deposition, both Defendants request dismissal of the case under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(d)(1)(A)(i). [Doc. 24] at 3; [Doc. 25].

¹ The presiding judge has referred this case to me for recommendations for an ultimate disposition. [Doc. 32].

The Court will order Plaintiff to appear and show cause why she should not be ordered to

pay "the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees," incurred by each Defendant as a result

of her failure to appear at her deposition on December 10, 2013. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(d)(3).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that no later than February 14, 2014, counsel for

each Defendant shall file an affidavit outlining the expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred

as a result of Plaintiff's failure to appear at her deposition on December 10, 2013.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff appear telephonically on March 5, 2014,

at 10:00 a.m. to show cause why she should not be ordered to pay Defendants' expenses and

fees incurred as a result of her failure to appear at her deposition, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.

P. 37(d)(3). Plaintiff and counsel may connect to the proceedings by calling Judge Vidmar's

Meet Me Line at 505-348-2357. Plaintiff is admonished that FAILURE TO APPEAR AS

ORDERED MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING DISMISSAL OF THIS

ACTION WITH PREJUDICE. See Ehrenhaus v. Reynolds, 965 F.2d 920–21 (10th Cir.

1992)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

STEPHAN M. VIDMAR

United States Magistrate Judge

2